HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ &
HON'BLE SHRI V.K. SHRIVASTAVA, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1030 OF 2006

PETITIONER

E. Tech. Projects Pvt. Ltd. A Company registered under the Indian Companies Act, 1956 with its Registered Office at Raipur, CG. 172, Light Industrial Area Bhilai, CG through Director,M.Z. Siddiqui, aged about 44 years, s/o. Shri Faizanuddin, r/o. Shastri Nagar, Supela, Bhilai, Dist. Durg, CG.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattisgarh through the Principal Secretary, Department of Local Self Government (Urban Administration and Development) Mantralaya, Raipur, CG.

2) Director, Urban Administration and Development, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, CG.

3) Pt. J.L.N. Memorial Medical College, through the Dean, Raipur, CG.

PRESENT:

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Shri D. Patel, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vinay Harit, Dy. Advocate General for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 29th March 2007)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by H.L. Dattu, CJ.

The petitioner is a company registered under the provisions of the Indian Companies Act, 1956. It has established a Bio-Medical Waste Treatment and Disposal Facility at Bhilai.

2) The petitioner is before this Court, inter alia, raising its grievances with regard to the action of the respondents in issuing the tender notification for awarding the work, being performed by the petitioner, to some other contractor.

3) Notices of this petition were ordered to be issued and served on the respondents. The respondents have filed their returns before this Court. In that, they have stated that pursuant to the tender notification issued by them, they have not awarded any contract to anybody.

4) The statement made by the respondents in their return is not disputed by the petitioner by filing any rejoinder before this Court. Since the respondents themselves have not proceeded with the tender notification issued by them awarding contract to any other person, the grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition, as on today, would not survive for consideration of this Court. Therefore, this writ petition requires to be rejected and is accordingly rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge

Sd/-
Chief Justice